ARKANSAS REGISTER



Transmittal Sheet

Use only for FINAL and EMERGENCY RULES

Secretary of State

John Thurston

500 Woodlane, Suite 026 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201-1094 (501) 682-5070

www.sos.arkansas.gov



Date

Date

For Office
Use Only:

Effective Date ______Code Number ______

Name of Agency ______

Department ______

Contact _____E-mail _____Phone _____

Statutory Authority for Promulgating Rules _____

Rule Title:

(Check One)		
Emergency (ACA 25-15-204)	Legal Notice Published	
10 Days After Filing (ACA 25-15-204)	Final Date for Public Comment	
Other(Must be more than 10 days after filing date.)	Reviewed by Legislative Council	
, , ,	Adopted by State Agency	

Electronic Copy of Rule e-mailed from: (Required under ACA 25-15-218)

Intended Effective Date

Contact Person E-mail Address

CERTIFICATION OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER

I Hereby Certify That The Attached Rules Were Adopted In Compliance with the Arkansas Administrative Act. (ACA 25-15-201 et. seq.)

Justulduns	
Signature	
Phone Number	E-mail Address
Title	
Data	

STATE OF ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSFORMATION AND SHARED SERVICES OFFICE OF STATE PROCUREMENT CHANGES TO RULES UNDER THE ARKANSAS PROCUREMENT LAW Agency Code 006.27

R7: 19-11-217 Direct Negotiation of Contracts With Retailers

The discretion granted to the State Procurement Director under Arkansas Code Annotated § 19-11-217(c)(15) can only be exercised to negotiate non-mandatory contracts with retailers who sell commodities and/or services directly to ultimate consumers for a standard retail price that can easily be determined by reference to reliable and publicly available sources, such as the retailer's catalog list price, documentation of the Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price, or a standing price that the retailer currently offers to public procurement units through a contract with the U.S. General Services Administration or a cooperative purchasing agreement.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY.

DEPARTMENT Department of Transformation and Shared Services
BOARD/COMMISSION_
PERSON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT Edward Armstrong
TELEPHONE NO. (501) 324-9312 EMAIL edward.armstrong@arkansas.gov
To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the Financial Impact Statement and email it with the questionnaire, summary, markup and clean copy of the rule, and other documents. Please attach additional pages, if necessary. TITLE OF THIS RULE R7 19-11-217 Direct Contract Negotiation
Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No ✓
Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No No
In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes No
If no, please explain:
(a) how the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;
(b) the reason for adoption of the more costly rule;
(c) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, how; and
(d) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, how.
If the purpose of this rule is to implement a <i>federal</i> rule or regulation, please state the following:
(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation? N/A

	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total \$0.00	Total \$0.00
(b) What is the additional cost of the s	state rule?
Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
	• •
Total \$0.00	
	Total \$0.00 al year to any private individual, private entity, or private anded, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the
What is the total estimated cost by fisc business subject to the proposed, amerule, and explain how they are affected Current Fiscal Year	Total \$0.00 al year to any private individual, private entity, or private aded, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the d. Next Fiscal Year
What is the total estimated cost by fisc business subject to the proposed, amerule, and explain how they are affected Current Fiscal Year \$ 0.00	al year to any private individual, private entity, or private inded, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the d. Next Fiscal Year \$ 0.00 al year to a state, county, or municipal government to
What is the total estimated cost by fisc business subject to the proposed, amerule, and explain how they are affected Current Fiscal Year \$ 0.00 What is the total estimated cost by fisc implement this rule? Is this the cost of	al year to any private individual, private entity, or private anded, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the d. Next Fiscal Year \$ 0.00

5.

6.

7.	With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined? Yes No		
	i es No		
	If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:		
	(1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;		
	(2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;		
	(3) a description of the factual evidence that:(a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and(b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;		
	(4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;		
	(5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;		
	(6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and		
	(7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether: (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives; (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and		

(c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.