## ARKANSAS REGISTER



### **Proposed Rule Cover Sheet**

Secretary of State John Thurston 500 Woodlane Street, Suite 026 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201-1094 (501) 682-5070 www.sos.arkansas.gov



Name of Department			
Agency or Division Name			
Other Subdivision or Department, If Applicable			
Previous Agency Name, If Applicable			
Contact Person			
Contact E-mail			
Contact Phone_			
Name of Rule			
Newspaper Name			
Date of Publishing			
Final Date for Public Comment			
Location and Time of Public Meeting			

#### COMMISSION FOR ARKANSAS PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMIC FACILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION RULES GOVERNING THE ACADEMIC FACILITIES PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM

Effective: December 30, 2019 (As amended January 23, 2020)

- 6.02 To the extent a district's Partnership Program Project has been ranked of such low-priority and there are not sufficient state funds available to fully fund the district's Partnership Project, the district shall be entitled to the following:
  - (i) The Division shall consider the district's current application a valid application for the next Partnership Project cycle and will prioritize and fund the application consistent with the prioritization and funding amounts utilized in the next Partnership Project cycle; or
  - (ii) The district may choose to withdraw its project application prior to the next-Partnership Program cycle and reapply for Partnership Project assistance in a subsequent cycle based upon that year's availability of funding pursuant to that cycle's adjusted funding rate and Partnership Program.
  - (ii) Approved projects not funded in the first year of a Partnership Program cycle will be moved to the second year of the Partnership Program same cycle and ranked after all of the approved year-two projects per Section 5.05 of these Rules. No project that is approved but not funded in a Partnership Program cycle will be moved to the next cycle.

#### QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

DF	EPARTMENT/AGENCY					
	VISION					
DI	VISION DIRECTOR					
CO	ONTACT PERSON					
ΑI	DDRESS					
PE	IONE NO FAX NO E-MAIL					
NA	DDRESS FAX NO E-MAIL AME OF PRESENTER AT COMMITTEE MEETING					
PR	RESENTER E-MAIL					
	INSTRUCTIONS					
	Please make copies of this form for future use.					
	Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets if necessary.					
	C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this D. Rule" below.					
Е.	Submit two (2) copies of the Questionnaire and Financial Impact Statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to:					
	Jessica C. Sutton					
	Administrative Rules Review Section					
	Arkansas Legislative Council					
	Bureau of Legislative Research					
	One Capitol Mall, 5th Floor					
	Little Rock, AR 72201 ***********************************					
	**************************************					
2.	What is the subject of the proposed rule?					
•						
3.	Is this rule required to comply with a federal statute, rule, or regulation? Yes No					
	If yes, please provide the federal rule, regulation, and/or statute citation.					
4.	Was this rule filed under the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act?					
٦.						
	Yes No					
	If yes, what is the effective date of the emergency rule?					
	When does the emergency rule expire?					
	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure					
	Act? Yes No					

	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes No If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does.
	Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes No If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation.
7.	What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary?

5. Is this a new rule? Yes No If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the rule.

8.	by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b).		
9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No If yes, please complete the following:		
	Date:		
	Time:		
	Place:		
10.	When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.)		
11. What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.)			
12.	Please provide a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(a), and proof of the publication of said notice		
13.	Please provide proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State as required pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e).		
14.	Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known.		

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

#### PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DI	EPARTMENT
PΕ	ERSON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT
ΓF	VISIONERSON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENTELEPHONE NOFAX NOEMAIL:
	comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the following Financial Impact Statement and file o (2) copies with the Questionnaire and proposed rules.
SH	IORT TITLE OF THIS RULE
1.	Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and
	information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule?
	Yes No
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly
	rule considered? Yes No
	If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:
	a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;
	b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule;
	c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, please explain; and
	Capiani, and
	d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, please explain.
	a) whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and it so, please explain.

4.	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:				
	a) What is the cost to implement the fed- <u>Current Fiscal Year</u>	eral rule or regulation? <u>Next Fiscal Year</u>			
	General Revenue Federal Funds	Federal Funds			
	Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)			
	Total	Total			
	b) What is the additional cost of the state rule?				
	<u>Current Fiscal Year</u>	Next Fiscal Year			
	General Revenue Federal Funds	General Revenue Federal Funds			
	Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)			
	Total	Total			
5.	What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private individual, entity and business subject to the proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the entity(ies) subject to the proposed rule and explain how				
	they are affected. Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year			
		\$			
	\$	<b>\$</b>			
6.	What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, county, and municipal government to implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant? Please explain how the government is affected.				
	Tuic. Is this the cost of the program of grant. Trease explain how the government is affected.				
	Current Fiscal Year	<u>Next Fiscal Year</u>			
	\$	<b>\$</b>			

7. With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes No

If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
  - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
  - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
  - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
  - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
- (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

#### SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

# COMMISSION FOR ARKANSAS PUBLIC SCHOOL ACADEMIC FACILITIES AND TRANSPORTION RULES GOVERNING THE ACADEMIC FACILITIES PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM – SECTION 6.02 ONLY

This amendment is intended to bring clarity to Section 6.02 of the Partnership Program Rules. As currently written, Section 6.02 has been interpreted by some to allow a Partnership Program project that is approved but not funded during a Partnership Program funding cycle (with each funding cycle being two consecutive years) to carry over indefinitely to upcoming cycles (without reapplication) until the project is funded.

The amendment to Section 6.02 would clarify that if a project is approved for the first year of a Partnership Program cycle but is not funded that year, it will carry over into the second year of the <u>same</u> cycle and funded if funding is available. However, projects approved during a cycle that are not funded by the end of the cycle will *not* carry over to any subsequent cycles. With this amendment, school districts will be on notice of the need to reapply in a timely manner for each cycle in which they wish their project to be considered.

This will realign the Partnership Program with its original intent, which was a two-year funding cycle.