ARKANSAS REGISTER



Transmittal Sheet

Use only for FINAL and EMERGENCY RULES

Secretary of State

John Thurston

500 Woodlane, Suite 026 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201-1094 (501) 682-5070



www.sos.arkansas.gov

For Office Use Only:		
-	Code Number	
Name of Agency		
Department		
Contact	_E-mailPh	one
Statutory Authority for Promulgating Rule	es	
Rule Title:		
Intended Effective Date		Date
Emergency (ACA 25-15-204)	Legal Notice Published	
10 Days After Filing (ACA 25-15-204)	Final Date for Public Comment	
Other(Must be more than 10 days after filing date.)	Reviewed by Legislative Council	
(Must be more than 10 days after thing date.)	Adopted by State Agency	·····
Electronic Copy of Rule e-mailed from: (Required	d under ACA 25-15-218)	
Contact Person	E-mail Address	Date
	ON OF AUTHORIZED OFFICE	R
	y That The Attached Rules Were Adopted kansas Administrative Act. (ACA 25-15-201 et. s	saa)
•	\mathcal{L}	seq.,
	usitulous	
	Signature	
Phone Number	E-mail Address	
	Title	
	Date	

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY.

DEP	PARTMENT
	ARD/COMMISSION
PER	SON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT
TEL	EPHONE NO. EMAIL
emai	omply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the Financial Impact Statement and il it with the questionnaire, summary, markup and clean copy of the rule, and other documents. se attach additional pages, if necessary.
TITI	LE OF THIS RULE
1.	Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes No
	If no, please explain:
	(a) how the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;
	(b) the reason for adoption of the more costly rule;
	(c) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, how; and
	(d) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, how.
4.	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a <i>federal</i> rule or regulation, please state the following

(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?

Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total	Total
(b) What is the additional cost of the st	rate rule?
Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total	Total
	al year to any private individual, private entity, or private aded, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the l. Next Fiscal Year
\$	\$
What is the total estimated cost by fisca implement this rule? Is this the cost of is affected.	\$al year to a state, county, or municipal government to the program or grant? Please explain how the government
What is the total estimated cost by fisca implement this rule? Is this the cost of	\$

7. With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes No

If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs:
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.