ARKANSAS REGISTER



Proposed Rule Cover Sheet

Secretary of State John Thurston 500 Woodlane Street, Suite 026 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201-1094 (501) 682-5070 www.sos.arkansas.gov



Name of Department
Agency or Division Name
Other Subdivision or Department, If Applicable
Previous Agency Name, If Applicable
Contact Person_
Contact E-mail
Contact Phone_
Name of Rule
Newspaper Name
Date of Publishing
Final Date for Public Comment
Location and Time of Public Meeting

STATE PLAN UNDER TITLE XIX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM STATE ARKANSAS

ATTACHMENT 4.19-B Page 2.1

METHODS AND STANDARDS FOR ESTABLISHING PAYMENT RATES - OTHER TYPES OF CARE

20202021

Revised: July January 1,

5. Physician Services (Continued)

F. For dates of service beginning January 1, 2021, the maximum reimbursement rate for evaluation and management codes were increased again based upon the provider survey conducted by DMS.

Effective for dates of service on or after July 1, 2020, the immunization administration fee for influenza will be based on the 2020 Medicare flu vaccine administration fee. All other immunization administration fees will be based on Medicare's 2020 physician fee schedule for the State of Arkansas. The rate is paid to all governmental and non-governmental providers, unless otherwise specified in the state plan. All rates are published at the agency's website, (http://medicaid.mmis.arkansas.gov/).



FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT		IMENT	Department of Human Services								
DIVISION			Division of Medical Services								
PE	RSO	N COMPLI	ETING THIS	STAT	EMENT	Lynn Bı	ırton				
TE	LEPI	HONE <u>(501</u>) 682-1857	_FAX	(501) 68	2-8155	EMAIL: Lyn	n.burton@dhs	s.arkansas.gov		
To Sta	comp	oly with Ark nt and file to	x. Code Ann. § wo copies with	25-15 the qu	-204(e), pl estionnair	ease com	plete the following posed rules.	ing Financial	Impact		
SH	IORT	TITLE O	F THIS RUL		A #2020-0 e Increase	•	ians' Evaluation	n & Managem	ent Code		
1.	Does	s this propos	sed, amended,	or repe	aled rule l	nave a fin	ancial impact?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌		
2.	econ	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No						No 🗌			
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered?							Yes 🔀	No 🗌		
	If an	agency is p	proposing a mo	re cost	ly rule, plo	ease state	the following:				
	(a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;(b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule;										
	(c)	Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, please explain; and;									
	(d)	d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, please explain.									

4. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:

What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?

Current Fiscal Year

Next Fiscal Year

General Revenue		Federal Funds	Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)					
Special Revenue		Special Revenue						
Total		Total						
	lditional cost of the state r							
Current Fiscal Y		Next Fiscal Year						
General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	\$ 411,876 \$1,036,862	General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue	\$ 823,752 \$2,073,723					
Total	\$1,448,738	Total	\$2,897,475					
	estimated cost by fiscal year	Next Fiscal Year \$ ar to state, county, and municipal grant? Please explain how the general state of the sta						
Current Fiscal Year \$ 411,876		<u>Next Fiscal Year</u> \$ <u>823,752</u>						
or obligation of a private entity, pri	t least one hundred thousan	estions #5 and #6 above, is there and dollars (\$100,000) per year to ment, county government, munical	a private individual,					
		Yes No No						
time of filing the	If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:							
	the rule's basis and purpo Arkansas Medicaid State Pla	ose; an is necessary to increase rates for	r physicians' evaluation					
and management s	ervices.							
	ne agency seeks to address red by statute;	s with the proposed rule, includir	ng a statement of whether					

Revised June 2019

<u>Physicians' Evaluation and Management rates have not been increased in over 14 years;</u> additionally, the new minimum wage increases have caused expenses for providers to increase.

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;

The rate increase is based on a rate review conducted by DHS and its actuaries in the Fall of 2019.

- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

 There are no less costly alternatives.
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

 None at this time.
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and None
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

Rates for Physicians' services will be reviewed periodically.

Statement of Necessity and Rule Summary

State Plan Amendment 20-023 – Physicians' Evaluation & Management Code Rate Increase

Statement of Necessity

Effective for dates of service occurring on or after January 1, 2021, the Arkansas Department of Human Services (DHS), Division of Medical Services (DMS) will adjust the Medicaid maximum unit reimbursement rate for physicians' evaluation and management codes by three (3.0%) percent subject to the routine rate study performed by DMS in the Fall of 2019.

Rule Summary

Effective January 1, 2021:

The Director of the Division of Medical Services (DMS) will adjust the Medicaid maximum unit reimbursement rate for physicians' evaluation and management codes by three (3.0%) percent subject to the routine rate study performed by DMS in the Fall of 2019. All rates are published on the agency's website: (http://medicaid.mmis.arkansas.gov/). The financial impact will be \$411,876 for State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2021; and \$823,752 for SFY 2022.